# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S064574

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (MICHAEL MATTHEW)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to November 12, 2009, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S174332 E043615 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. HUTCHINS (LORENZO L.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174333 B216089 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **ROBINSON (JOHN) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174357 G040663 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. AHUMADA, JR., (FRANK)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174378 A118739 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MARTELL (EDMUNDO ADAYLTON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174568 B204826 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. COOPER (GREGORY LEE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174571 E048636 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 BURRUEL (MANUEL) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174577 B202935 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. ORTA (URIEL)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174579 B217092 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **MEJIA (RAFAEL) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174616 C062188 Third Appellate District KILLINGSWORTH (MARTIN) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174619 C059391 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HODGES (EDDIE ALLEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174622 G040461 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MALLORY (FRANK ROBERT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174633 B198550 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 MOORE (ARDELL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174639 F054696 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. EBANIZ (TYRONE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

S174669 B217179 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 WILLIAMS (DEPRIEST) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 14, 2009.

S174698 B203551 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. MAGALLON (STEVEN RUBEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174702 A125383 First Appellate District, Div. 4 YORK (THOMAS) ON H.C. The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174708 E046632 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. KARNES (TIMOTHY SCOTT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174711 G038734 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 DOPPES (AUGUST B.) v. BENTLEY MOTORS, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174712 B204123 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DORSETT (PHILLIP)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174715 B204678 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. THURMAN (COURTNEY DEONTA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174719 A125261 First Appellate District, Div. 4 WILLIAMS (JAMES O.) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174722 B217134 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 GUARCHAJ (MIGUEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 15, 2009.

S174861 B204725 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 OZERSON (ELIZABETH) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 9, 2009.

PEOPLE v. HOUSTON (ERIC CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David H. Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by January 28, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 30, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S043520

S035190

PEOPLE v. POWELL (CARL DEVON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neoma Kenwood's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 13, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 2, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S045423

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ-FUENTES (EDGARDO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Sara Theiss's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 3, 2009. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S166350

D049331 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

BRINKER RESTAURANT CORPORATION v. S.C. (HOHNBAUM)

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest Adam Hohnbaum, et al. and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the consolidated answer to amicus curiae briefs is extended to October 8, 2009.

S175547

AGUIRRE (RICHARD ARTHUR) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to October 13, 2009.

S175844

A125626 First Appellate District, Div. 1

ALVAREZ (ALEJANDRO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent Superior Court of San Francisco and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is extended to September 15, 2009. Any reply to the answer must be filed on or before September 21, 2009.

S070536

PEOPLE v. MACIEL (LUIS PELON)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant's "Application for Leave to File a Reply Brief in Excess of the Word Court Limits Established in Rule 8.630 of the California Rules of Court" is granted.

S176031 B203476 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

CLARK (DERAIN) v.
AMERICAN RESIDENTIAL
SERVICES, LLC./(NALUZ)

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 3, 2009. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

### S134803

### KOTTO ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of NATASHA ANKE KOTTO, State Bar Number 161806, is revoked. The court further orders that:

- 1. NATASHA ANKE KOTTO is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of three years, and she will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
  - i. She must provide proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before her suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. NATASHA ANKE KOTTO is given credit towards the three-year suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on May 23, 2009.

NATASHA ANKE KOTTO must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S157399

## PROPSTER ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of LISA GERALDINE PROPSTER, State Bar Number 165059, is revoked. The court further orders that LISA GERALDINE PROPSTER is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LISA GERALDINE PROPSTER is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of her probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on March 9, 2009); and
- 2. LISA GERALDINE PROPSTER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order filed on March 6, 2009.

LISA GERALDINE PROPSTER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE **BAR MISC. 4186** OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 885)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

### **BAR MISC. 4186** IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 886)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)